

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,852	12/08/2003	James Christopher Matayabas JR.	42P17180	4439	
8791 7	590 03/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			GEYER, SCOTT B		
12400 WILSH SEVENTH FL	IRE BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	S, CA 90025-1030		2812		

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{U}}$
	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	71
Office Action Summar	10/73 ⁻		MATAYABAS, JAMES CHRISTOPHER	
	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		3. Geyer	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70-	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In not communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an reply will, by statute, cause the conths after the mailing date of thi	statutory minimum of thirty (30) did will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>08 Decembe</i>	r 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i		つ	
3) Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p	ition for allowance exce	ept for formal matters, p		5
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-23</u> 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-14</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11</u> is/are rejected to 1. 7) □ Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are objected to 1.	is/are withdrawn from d.		,	
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to 1 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 08 Dece Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is object	mber 2003 is/are: a) objection to the drawing uding the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyance. Squired if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a call a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pri 2. Certified copies of the pri 3. Copies of the certified copies of the certifie	of: ority documents have t ority documents have t pies of the priority docu national Bureau (PCT f	peen received. Deen received in Applica Deen received in Applica Deen recei	ntion No ved in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office Attachment(s)	action for a list of the c	ertified copies not receiv	vea.	
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a method of making an encapsulated microelectronic device, classified in class 438, subclass 127.
- II. Claims 15-23, drawn to an epoxy with a catalyst compound, classified in class 528, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the epoxy with a catalyst compound can be used for another process such as an adhesive for joining two materials together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2812

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Steven De Klerk on March 18th, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Drawings

The drawings as submitted on December 8th, 2003 appear to be hand-drawn informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes. Upon allowance of the instant application, more concise and clear drawings will be required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crowley et al. (6,309,916 B1) in view of Inagaki et al. (5,610,443).

As to claim 1, Crowley et al. teach a method of molding an epoxy resin over a die (microelectronic assembly), as shown in figures 3 and 5. The die 20 is attached to a substrate 30, the package is positioned within a mold having upper and lower plates 46 and 48, wherein the upper plate 46 is located over the die 20 and substrate 30. An

Art Unit: 2812

encapsulant mixture is injected through ram 56 and flows over the die into the cavity 42 defined by the substrate 30, the die 20 and the upper mold 46. The epoxy resin that is injected is in liquid phase, having been melted prior to entry into the mold cavity (see column 4, lines 40-50). Crowley et al. do not teach a solid phase catalyst compound used in the epoxy encapsulant, nor do Crowley et al. teach the catalyst compound becoming a liquid and curing the epoxy. However, Inagaki et al. disclose a prior art method of using a solid catalyst mixed with a liquid epoxy resin, wherein the solid catalyst becomes a liquid (i.e. is dissolved) when the liquid epoxy resin is heated, which subsequently cures the epoxy resin (see column 1, lines 61-67, continued to column 2, lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Crowley et al. with a solid curing catalyst for a heated epoxy resin as taught by Inagaki et al. so as to quicken the curing process of the epoxy resin encapsulant and increase manufacturing efficiency.

As to claim 11, Crowley et al. teach removing the epoxy (and the molded device within the epoxy) from the mold piece after the epoxy is cured (column 4, lines 51 et seq.).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 5, 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record and to

Application/Control Number: 10/731,852

Art Unit: 2812

the examiner's knowledge does not teach or render obvious, at least to the skilled artisan, the instant invention regarding:

Page 5

the catalyst compound including a polymer and the catalyst bound to the polymer, as recited in claim 2 (claims 3 and 4 are dependent upon claim 2);

the catalyst compound is les active than triphenyl phosphine of the same mass fraction at 120°C as recited in claim 5 (*claim 6 is dependent upon claim 5*);

the epoxy is at least one of bis(4-glycidyloxyphenyl)methane, poly[(o-cresyl glycidl ether)-co-formaldehyde], 4-4'-isopropylidenediphenol diglycidyl ether or 3,5,3',5'-tetramethyldiphenyl 4,4'-diglycidyl ether, as recited in claim 7;

wherein the epoxy is a liquid at 22oC as recited in claim 8 (*claims* 9 and 10 are dependent on claim 8).

//

Claims 12-14 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. The prior art of record and to the examiner's knowledge does not teach or render obvious, at least to the skilled artisan, the instant invention regarding a method of making a microelectronic assembly wherein a encapsulant mixture is used to encapsulate a microelectronic die, such that the encapsulant mixture is made of a liquid phase epoxy and a solid phase polystyrene-bound diphenyl phosphine catalyst compound, as recited in claim 12 (claims 13 and 14 are dependent upon claim 12).

//

//

Application/Control Number: 10/731,852

Art Unit: 2812

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott B. Geyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am - 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB-8 3/18/05

Scott B. Geyer

Patent Examiner, 2812

March 18, 2005